

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/521,467 | BABLER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Elvis O. Price | 1621 | |

All Participants:

(1) Elvis O. Price.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Corwin Umbach.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10-27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested and oral election of a Lack of Unity restriction for the claims (Group I: process claims 10-22 and Group II: apparatus claims 23-27). Mr. Umbach elected the process claims with the provision that if the process claims were held to be allowable then the Examiner was Authorized to cancel the non-elected apparatus claims in order to advance prosecution. The Examiner has found the process claims to be allowable over the art of record and was authorized by Mr. Umbach to cancel the apparatus claims..